

**SWS August 2-4 Metro Manila Poll:**  
**49% SAY IMPEACHMENT IS MORE**  
**IMPORTANT, 22% SAY IT'S CHA-CHA**

*Social Weather Stations*

Forty-nine percent call impeachment more important, 22% call charter amendment more important, and 25% say the two are equally important, according to an SWS poll of 565 Metro Manilans by telephone over August 2-4.

After being told that on July 25<sup>th</sup> President Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo called for change to a parliamentary and federal system of government, and that on the same day the opposition filed an impeachment complaint against her in the House of Representatives, the respondents were asked which of the two is more important, or if they are equally important. The 4% balance were Don't Knows or refusals to answer.

**Few know the parliamentary system; their opinions about it are split**

The SWS poll found only 22% saying that they know enough about the parliamentary system, and further found them evenly split into 10% feeling that it can work in the Philippines, 10% feeling that it cannot work, and 2% undecided about it.

The poll did not ask for an evaluation of the system from the 78% saying they did not know enough about it.

**No consensus about a regional government for Metro Manila**

The poll probed into federalism by asking the respondents if a new regional government for the entirety of Metro Manila, with its own legislature to enact laws specifically for the region, would be workable, or if they did not know enough about it in order to decide. To this question, almost half (47%) said they did not know enough, 29% felt it cannot work, 17% felt it can work, and 6% were undecided.

Those saying that a regional government can work were then asked to choose if the regional head should be directly elected by the voters of Metro Manila, or if he/she should simply be whoever is the leader of the majority party in the legislature. This resulted in the 17% being split into 13% preferring direct election and 4% preferring the (parliamentary) practice of joining legislative power with executive power.

**Distrust of PGMA continues**

Trust in the President is at only 22%, which is not significantly different from the 19% found in the previous SWS telephone poll of July 12-14. Current distrust is at 46%, barely smaller than the 51% found in the July poll.

The resulting Net Trust rating for PGMA is -24 for August 2-4, which though negative is not as poor as the -33 of mid-July.

**66% believe it was Garcillano on the phone**

Awareness of the Garci tapes controversy is universal in Metro Manila, with only 1.6% not yet hearing of it, compared to 4.6% in mid-July, and 6.5% at the end of June.

After being reminded that the President did not name, in her June 27 apology, the Comelec official she had talked to, 66% said they believe she was on the phone to Commissioner Virgilio Garcillano.

Fifty-five percent said she was actually directing the Comelec official to cheat in the election, and 35% accepted her statement that she was only trying to protect her votes.

Those saying she cheated have not changed significantly since June, but those saying she did not cheat were slightly more than at the end of June, when they numbered 28%. The slight gain in accepting PGMA's statement came from the drop in the Don't Knows/No Answer/Unaware of the Garci tapes.

**53% say resign, 80% say impeach**

In the new SWS poll, 53% think GMA should resign while 37% think she should not.

This is a slight gain for the President, from the 59% wanting her to resign, and 21% opposing it, in the July 12-14 survey.

Those favoring GMA's impeachment are 80% as of last week, or virtually unchanged from the 81% in the mid-July SWS poll, computed as proportions of the full samples, whether or not aware of the Garci tapes controversy.

**Split opinion on Noli's capability**

Opinions in Metro Manila on Vice-President Noli de Castro's capacity to run the government are becoming split, with 42% calling him Capable and 39% Not Capable.

The VP's Capable-Not Capable scores in previous SWS Metro Manila polls were 45-36 in mid-July and 48-29 at the end of June, or with larger pluralities saying he is capable.

## **Telephone Sampling**

Over August 2-4, a team of 16 field staff in the SWS telephone laboratory completed 565 interviews distributed as follows: Quezon City 122, Manila 87, Kalookan 66, Valenzuela 33, Pasig 28, Makati 27, Las Piñas 27, Taguig 26, Parañaque 25, Marikina 22, Malabon 22, Pasay 22, Muntinlupa 21, Mandaluyong 15, Navotas 13, San Juan 6, and Pateros 3.

SWS allocated calls in proportion to the population in the 17 cities/towns of Metro Manila. In each city/town, a list of numbers to call was generated by repeatedly drawing a 3-digit prefix at random from the prefixes assigned to the area by telephone companies, and attaching a random 4-digit suffix, making it possible to contact both listed and unlisted numbers. When a residential number is reached, the interviewer asks to speak to whoever among adults in the residence was the last to mark his/her birthday; appointments for re-calls are made if the selected person is out but will be available later within the survey period.

The three SWS telephone polls of June 28-30 (n = 526), July 12-14 (n = 608) and August 2-4 (n = 565) take sampling error margins of about  $\pm 4\%$  at the 95% confidence level for the reference group of adults with landlines in Metro Manila.

## **Demographic factors**

The telephone-landline sample was somewhat over-representative of the higher education levels, with 11% not having completed high school, 52% with a high school only, and 37% with a college degree.

Preliminary tabulations show higher education as related to more interest in the Garci controversy, more belief that it was Garcillano on the phone, more demand for impeachment, more listening to the tapes, more knowledge about the parliamentary system, more belief that a regional government can work in Metro Manila, and less preference for a directly elected regional head of Metro Manila. Education has no clear relationship to the other items polled.

As usual, the sample had many more women than men, due to the greater difficulty of finding men respondents by telephone. Tabulations show that men have more unfavorable feelings towards GMA than women, and thus weighting men and women equally would result in adjusted computations even more negative towards her.

#

**Marginal Results SWS August 2-4, 2005 Metro Manila Telephone Survey**

*Note: The Telephone interviews were conducted entirely in Tagalog; an English translation is given here for the benefit of non-Tagalog users.*

Q1. Ang pagtitiwala po ba ninyo kay Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo ay MALAKI, MALIIT, o HINDI KAYO TIYAK TUNGKOL DITO? [KUNG MALAKI o MALIIT: NAPAKA\_\_\_ o MEDYO \_\_\_?]

*Do you have MUCH or LITTLE trust/faith in Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo or ARE YOU NOT CERTAIN ABOUT THIS? [IF MUCH or LITTLE: IS IT VERY\_\_\_ or SOMEWHAT\_\_\_?]*

**June 28-30**    **July 12-14**    **Aug 2-4**  
 [N= 526]        [N= 608]        [N=565]

Napakalaki ng pagtitiwala ( <i>Very much trust</i> ).....	5.9%	7.1%	<b>10%</b>
Medyo malaki ang pagtitiwala ( <i>Somewhat much trust</i> ) .....	12	12	<b>12</b>
Medyo maliit ang pagtitiwala ( <i>Somewhat little trust</i> ).....	16	15	<b>15</b>
Napakaliit ng pagtitiwala ( <i>Very little trust</i> ).....	33	36	<b>31</b>
Hindi tiyak ( <i>Volunteered: Undecided</i> ).....	33	29	<b>31</b>
Net* Trust.....	-31	-33	<b>-24</b>

Q2. Tungkol po sa mga kontrobersya na nagmula sa mga tape ng di-umanong pag-uusap sa telepono nina Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo at COMELEC Commissioner Virgilio Garcillano noong Hunyo 2004, ito po ba ay SINUSUNDAN NINYO NANG MABUTI, MEDYO SINUSUNDAN, SINUSUNDAN NANG KAUNTI LAMANG, HALOS HINDI SINUSUNDAN O NGAYON LANG KAYO MAY NARINIG TUNGKOL DITO?

*On the controversies about the taped conversations allegedly between Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo and Comelec Commissioner Virgilio Garcillano last June 2004, are you following this VERY CLOSELY, SOMEWHAT CLOSELY, JUST A LITTLE, NOT AT ALL, or HAVE YOU HEARD OF THIS ONLY NOW?*

**July 12-14**    **Aug 2-4**  
 [N= 608]        [N=565]

Sinusundan nang mabuti ( <i>Following very closely</i> ) .....	27%	<b>30%</b>
Medyo sinusundan ( <i>Following somewhat closely</i> ) .....	28	<b>24</b>
Sinusundan nang kaunti lamang ( <i>Following just a little</i> ).....	25	<b>27</b>
Halos hindi sinusundan ( <i>Not at all followed</i> ).....	15	<b>18</b>
Ngayon lang may narinig tungol dito ( <i>Have heard of this only now</i> ) .....	4.6	<b>1.6 -- Go to Q8</b>

Noong June 27, inamin ni Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo na nakipag-usap siya sa isang opisyal ng COMELEC noong nakaraang 2004 na eleksyon upang aniya maprotektahan lamang ang kanyang mga boto at hindi para mandaya sa eleksyon. Sinabi niya na ito ay isang “kakulangan sa wastong pagpapasya” o “lapse in judgment” at humingi ng patawad dahil dito.

*Last June 27, Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo admitted that she talked to a COMELEC official in the 2004 election in order to protect her votes and not to cheat in the election. She said that it was a lapse in judgment and asked for forgiveness because of this.*

Q3. Hindi sinabi ni PGMA kung sinong opisyal ng COMELEC ang kinausap niya. Kayo po ba ay NANINIWALA o HINDI NANINIWALA na si Commissioner Virgilio Garcillano nga ang kanyang kinausap? TALAGANG \_\_\_\_\_ o MEDYO

*Pres. Gloria Macapagal Arroyo did not say who is the COMELEC official she talked to. Do you BELIEVE or NOT BELIEVE that Commissioner Virgilio Garcillano was the one that she really talked to?*

Talagang naniniwala ( <i>Strongly believe</i> ).....	<b>49%</b>
Medyo naniniwala ( <i>Somewhat believe</i> ) .....	<b>17</b>
Medyo hindi naniniwala ( <i>Somewhat not believe</i> ) .....	<b>6.4</b>
Talagang hindi naniniwala ( <i>Strongly not believe</i> ).....	<b>7.4</b>
Hindi tiyak ( <i>Volunteered: Undecided if believe or not believe</i> ).....	<b>15</b>
No answer/Don't know/Refused .....	<b>3.5</b>
Not applicable.....	<b>1.6</b>
Net belief .....	<b>+52</b>

Q4. Bagamat sinabi ni Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo na tumawag siya sa nasabing opisyal ng COMELEC a) **upang protektahan lamang ang kaniyang mga boto**, ang sabi naman ng iba ay malinaw sa mga tape na b) **inutusan niya ang opisyal ng COMELEC na dayain ang bilangan ng boto**. Alin po sa dalawang ito ang mas pinaniniwalaan ninyo?

*Although Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo said that she called the COMELEC official a) only to protect her votes, others said it was clear in the tapes that b) she instructed the COMELEC official to cheat in the counting of votes. Which of these two do you believe more?*

<u>June 28-30</u>	<u>July 12-14</u>	<u>Aug 2-4</u>
[N= 526]	[N= 608]	[N=565]

Upang protektahan lamang ang kanyang mga boto .....	28%	29%	<b>35%</b>
( <i>Only to protect her votes</i> )			
Inutusan ang opisyal ng COMELEC na dayain ang boto.....	55	58	<b>55</b>
( <i>Instructed the COMELEC official to cheat in the counting of votes</i> )			
Don't know .....	7.2	4.4	<b>5.3</b>
Refused/No answer .....	3.4	3.8	<b>1.8</b>
Not aware of Gloria tapes .....	6.5	4.6	<b>1.6</b>

Q5. Kayo po ba ay **SANG-AYON** o **HINDI SANG-AYON** na mag-resign sa lalong madaling panahon si Pang Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo? TALAGANG \_\_\_\_\_ o MEDYO

*Are you in FAVOR or NOT IN FAVOR of Pres. Gloria Macapagal Arroyo resigning as soon as possible? [IF IN FAVOR or NOT IN FAVOR: Are you STRONGLY \_\_\_ or SOMEWHAT \_\_\_ only?]*

	<u>July 12-14</u> [N= 608]	<u>Aug 2-4</u> [N=565]
Talagang sang-ayon ( <i>Strongly in favor</i> ) .....	47%	<b>42%</b>
Medyo sang-ayon ( <i>Somewhat in favor</i> ) .....	12	<b>11</b>
Medyo hindi sang-ayon ( <i>Somewhat not in favor</i> ) .....	9.0	<b>13</b>
Talagang hindi sang-ayon ( <i>Strongly not in favor</i> ) .....	22	<b>24</b>
Hindi tiyak ( <b>Volunteered: Undecided</b> ).....	5.4	<b>7.3</b>
Net in favor.....	+28	<b>+16</b>
Not aware of Gloria tapes.....	4.6	<b>1.6</b>

Q6. Kayo po ba ay **SANG-AYON** o **HINDI SANG-AYON** na si PGMA ay dapat ma-impeach o dumaan sa isang impeachment trial at kung ang hatol sa kanya ay “guilty” siya ay matatanggal sa pwesto?. TALAGANG \_\_\_\_\_ o MEDYO

*Are you in FAVOR or NOT IN FAVOR of Pres. Gloria Macapagal Arroyo being impeached or undergoing an impeachment trial, and if found guilty be removed from office? [IF IN FAVOR or NOT IN FAVOR: Are you STRONGLY \_\_\_ or SOMEWHAT \_\_\_ only?]*

	<u>July 12-14</u> [N= 608]	<u>Aug 2-4</u> [N=565]
Talagang sang-ayon ( <i>Strongly in favor</i> ) .....	68%	<b>68%</b>
Medyo sang-ayon ( <i>Somewhat in favor</i> ) .....	13	<b>12</b>
Medyo hindi sang-ayon ( <i>Somewhat not in favor</i> ) .....	2.3	<b>5.0</b>
Talagang hindi sang-ayon ( <i>Strongly not in favor</i> ) .....	8.7	<b>7.4</b>
Hindi tiyak ( <b>Volunteered: Undecided</b> ).....	3.0	<b>4.1</b>
Net in favor.....	+70	<b>+68</b>
Not aware of Gloria tapes.....	4.6	<b>1.6</b>

Q7. a) **Napakikinggan** na po ba ninyo ang mga pinag-uusapang tapes o CD o di kaya ay b) **nakabasa** na po ba kayo ng mga transcripts ng mga tapes o CDs na ito, c) **parehong napakinggan at nabasa** na mismo ang tapes at transcripts, o d) **hindi pa po ba ninyo narinig o nabasa mismo** ang pinag-usapan umano nina PGMA at COMELEC Commissioner Garcillano sa mga tapes? [ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSE]

*Have you listened to the talked-about tapes or CDs or have you b) read transcripts of these tapes or CDs, or c) Personally listened and read the tapes and transcripts, or d) you have not heard or read the conversation between Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo and COMELEC Commissioner Garcillano in the tapes?* [ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSE]

	<u>June 28-30</u> [N= 526]	<u>July 12-14</u> [N= 608]	<u>Aug 2-4</u> [N=565]
Napakikinggan na mismo <i>(Personally listened to the tapes or CD)</i> .....	30%	42%	<b>42%</b>
Nabasa na mismo ang transcripts <i>(Personally read the transcripts)</i> .....	12	6.1	<b>8.0</b>
Parehong personal na napakinggan at nabasa <i>(Personally listened and read)</i> .....	--	16	<b>12</b>
Hindi pa mismo narinig o nabasa <i>(Have not yet listened nor read)</i> .....	56	30	<b>36</b>
Refused/No answer.....	0.6	0.3	<b>0</b>
Not aware of Gloria tapes.....	6.5	4.6	<b>1.6</b>

**INTRO a.** Noong Hulyo 25 [din], sa kanyang State of the Nation Address o SONA, sinabi ni PGMA na dapat baguhin agad-agad ang Konstitusyon upang gawing **Parliamentary** at saka **Federal** ang sistema ng gobyerno, at sinabi din niya na dapat dumaan ang mga pagbabago sa isang **Constituent Assembly** ng mga Kongresista at mga Senador, imbis sa isang ihahalal pang Constitutional Convention.

**INTRO b.** Noong Hulyo 25 [din], nag-file ang oposisyon ng impeachment complaint sa House of Representatives laban kay PGMA. [SPLIT SEQUENCING OF INTRO a & b]

*INTRO a. [Also] Last July 25, in her State of the Nation Address or SONA, Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo said that the Constitution should be amended immediately to make the system of government Parliamentary and Federal, and she also said that the change should be through a Constituent Assembly of Congressmen and Senators instead of an elected Constitutional Convention.*

*INTRO b. [Also] Last July 25, the opposition filed an impeachment complaint in the House of Representatives against Pres. Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo. [SPLIT SEQUENCING OF INTRO a & b]*

Q8. Alin po sa dalawa ang mas importante para sa inyo, (a) ang **pagbabago sa Konstitusyon**, o (b) ang **impeachment complaint**, o (c) **magkasing-importante po ba sila?** [FOLLOW SAME SEQUENCING AS INTRO a & b]

*Which of these two is more important for you, a) the amendment of the Constitution, or b) the impeachment, or c) are they equally important?* [FOLLOW SAME SEQUENCING AS INTRO a & b]

Pagbabago sa Konstitusyon (Amendment of the Constitution).....	<b>22%</b>
Impeachment complaint (Impeachment complaint).....	<b>49</b>
Magkasing-importante (Equally important).....	<b>25</b>
None/Refused .....	<b>2.3</b>
Don't know.....	<b>1.8</b>

- Q9. Masasabi po ba ninyo na **SAPAT NA** o **HINDI PA SAPAT** ang pagka-alam ninyo tungkol sa Parliamentary system? TALAGANG \_\_\_\_\_ o MEDYO \_\_\_\_\_  
[HINDI SAPAT: SKIP TO Q11]

*Would you say that you have ENOUGH or NOT ENOUGH KNOWLEDGE of the Parliamentary system? DEFINITELY \_\_\_\_\_ or SOMEWHAT \_\_\_\_\_*  
[NOT ENOUGH KNOWLEDGE: SKIP TO Q11]

Talagang sapat ( <i>Definitely enough</i> ).....	7.8%
Medyo sapat ( <i>Somewhat enough</i> ).....	14
Medyo hindi sapat ( <i>Somewhat not enough</i> ).....	44
Talagang hindi sapat ( <i>Definitely not enough</i> ).....	33

- Q10. [KUNG Q9-SAPAT:] Bagamat maraming klaseng **Parliamentary** system, sa karaniwan ay inihahalal ang mga Members of Parliament o mambabatas, at ang sinumang pinili nilang pinuno ng Parliament ay siya ring pinuno ng buong gobyerno. Sa palagay ninyo, ang sistemang ito ba ay **MAAARING UMUBRA SA PILIPINAS** o **HINDI MAAARING UMUBRA SA PILIPINAS**? TALAGANG \_\_\_\_\_ o MEDYO \_\_\_\_\_

*IF ENOUGH IN Q9: Although there are many kinds of Parliamentary systems, usually the Members of Parliament or legislators are elected, and whoever they choose as leader of Parliament is also the head of the whole government . Do you think this system CAN WORK IN THE PHILIPPINES or CANNOT WORK IN THE PHILIPPINES? DEFINITELY \_\_\_\_\_ or PROBABLY \_\_\_\_\_*

Talagang maaaring umubra ( <i>Definitely can work</i> ).....	5.0%
Medyo maaaring umubra ( <i>Probably can work</i> ).....	4.8
Medyo hindi maaaring umubra ( <i>Probably cannot work</i> ).....	3.7
Talagang hindi maaaring umubra ( <i>Definitely cannot work</i> ).....	6.7
Hindi tiyak ( <i>Volunteered: Unsure</i> ).....	1.6
Not applicable .....	78

- Q11. Mayroon pong panukala na gumawa ng **bagong Regional Governments** sa Pilipinas. Halimbawa, ang Metro Manila ay magkakaroon ng sariling lehislatura na gagawa ng batas na paaairalin sa buong Metro Manila. Samakatuwid mas mataas ito kaysa sa mga kasalukuyang City at Municipal Governments ng Metro Manila. Sa palagay ninyo, ang Regional Government ba ay **MAAARING UMUBRA SA METRO MANILA** o **HINDI MAAARING UMUBRA SA METRO MANILA** o **KULANG PA ANG ALAM NINYO TUNGKOL DITO**? TALAGANG \_\_\_\_\_ o MEDYO \_\_\_\_\_

*There is a proposal to form new Regional Governments in the Philippines. For example, Metro Manila will have its own legislature that will enact laws to prevail in all of Metro Manila. Therefore this would be higher than the present City and Municipal Governments of Metro Manila Do you think a Regional Government CAN WORK IN METRO MANILA, or CANNOT WORK IN METRO MANILA or DON'T YOU KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT THIS? TALAGANG \_\_\_\_\_ o MEDYO \_\_\_\_\_*

Talagang maaaring umubra ( <i>Definitely can work</i> ).....	4.6%
Medyo maaaring umubra ( <i>Somewhat can work</i> ).....	13
Medyo maaaring hindi umubra ( <i>Somewhat cannot work</i> ).....	10
Talagang maaaring hindi umubra ( <i>Definitely cannot work</i> ).....	19
Kulang pa ang alam ( <i>Don't know enough</i> ).....	47
Hindi tiyak ( <i>Volunteered: Unsure</i> ).....	6.0



Q12. **KUNG MAAARING UMUBRA:** Narito naman po ang dalawang panukala para sa sistema ng pagpili ng pinuno ng bagong Regional Government ng Metro Manila: (a) **SIYA AY IHAHALAL NG MGA BOTANTE NG METRO MANILA** o (b) **SIYA AY KUNG SINO MAN ANG LIDER NG MAJORITY PARTY SA LEHISLATURA NG METRO MANILA.** [SPLIT SEQUENCE]. Alin po sa dalawang sistemang ito ang pipiliin ninyo?

**IF CAN WORK:** Here are two proposals for choosing a new leader for the Regional Government of Metro Manila: a) **SHOULD BE ELECTED BY THE VOTERS OF METRO MANILA,** or b) **WHOEVER IS THE LEADER OF THE MAJORITY PARTY IN THE LEGISLATURE OF METRO MANILA.** Which of the two will you choose?

Ihahalal ng mga botante ng Metro Manila ..... **13%**  
 (Should be elected by the voters of Metro Manila)

Kung sino man ang lider ng majority party sa lehislatura ng Metro Manila ..... **3.9**  
 (Whoever is the leader of the majority party in the legislature of Metro Manila)

Not applicable ..... **83**

Q13. Sa tingin po ninyo, **may kakayahan po ba si Bise-Presidente Noli de Castro** na mamahala sa gobyerno kung sakaling magbitiw o mapaalis sa puwesto si Pangulong Gloria Macapagal Arroyo? [KUNG MAY KAKAYAHAN: TALAGANG MAY KAKAYAHAN, MEDYO MAY KAKAYAHAN]

*In your opinion, do you think Vice-Pres. Noli de Castro has the capability to govern the government in case Pres Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo resigns or is removed from her position? [IF HE HAS THE CAPABILITY: Is he DEFINITELY CAPABLE or SOMEWHAT CAPABLE]*

<u>June 28-30</u>	<u>July 12-14</u>	<u>Aug 2-4</u>
[N= 526]	[N= 608]	[N=565]

Talagang mayroong kakayahan (Definitely capable).....	17%	14%	14%
Medyo mayroong kakayahan (Somewhat capable) .....	31	31	28
Walang kakayahan (Not capable).....	29	36	39

**Volunteered Response:**

Hindi tiyak kung may kakayahan (Undecided).....	21	19	16
Don't know/Refused.....	2.1	1.3	2.5

Q14. Sa ngayon po, ang isang panukalang-batas ay kailangang aprobahan ng House of Representatives, ng Senado, at ng Pangulo, at ang tatlong ito ay **maaaring kontrolado ng magka-ibang partido.** Kayo po ba ay **SANG-AYON** o **HINDI SANG-AYON** sa sinasabi ng ilan na **ang ganitong paraan na pag-aapropa ng panukala ay malaking sagabal sa paggawa ng mga mabubuting batas** para sa Pilipinas? TALAGANG \_\_\_\_\_ o MEDYO \_\_\_\_\_

*At this time, a proposed bill should be approved by the House of Representatives, the Senate, and the President and these three might be controlled by different parties. Do you AGREE or DISAGREE, as some say, that this system of approving proposed bills is a big hindrance to making good laws for the Philippines? STRONGLY \_\_\_\_\_ o SOMEWHAT \_\_\_\_\_*

Talagang sang-ayon (Strongly agree).....	24%
Medyo sang-ayon (Somewhat agree).....	23
Medyo hindi sang-ayon (Somewhat disagree).....	22
Talagang hindi sang-ayon (Strongly disagree).....	19
Hindi tiyak ( <b>Volunteered: Undecided</b> ).....	9.9
Net in agree .....	+6

**SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS**

SDC2. Kung si PGMA ay manatiling Presidente ng Pilipinas hanggang 2010, ano po sa palagay ninyo ang malamang na mangyayari sa ekonomiya ng bansa? Ito po ba ay **BUBUTI, SASAMA, o MAGIGING KAPAREHO LANG SA NGAYON?**

*If Pres. Gloria Macapagal Arroyo remains President until 2010, what do you think will probably happen to the economy of the country? Would you say that **IT WILL GET BETTER, IT WILL GET WORSE, or IT WILL BE THE SAME AS TODAY?***

	<u>July 12-14</u> [N= 608]	<u>Aug 2-4</u> [N= 565]
Bubuti ( <i>Will get better</i> ).....	13%	20%
Kapareho lang ( <i>Will be the same</i> ).....	35	41
Sasama ( <i>Will get worse</i> ) .....	46	33
Don't know/Can't say/Refused.....	5.4	6.2

SDC3. Age of the Respondents

	<u>June 28-30</u> [N= 526]	<u>July 12-14</u> [N= 608]	<u>Aug 2-4</u> [N=565]
18-24 .....	26%	22%	23%
25-34 .....	22	23	22
35-44 .....	18	20	18
45-54 .....	17	17	18
55 above .....	17	18	18

SDC4. Highest Educational Attainment of the Respondents

	<u>June 28-30</u> [N= 526]	<u>July 12-14</u> [N= 608]	<u>Aug 2-4</u> [N=565]
Some elementary .....	0.8%	2.0%	1.8%
Completed elementary .....	3.8	3.6	4.1
Some high school.....	5.5	5.9	5.0
Completed high school .....	18	23	25
Some vocational .....	0.6	2.6	0.7
Completed vocational.....	5.5	0.5	2.1
Some college .....	22	24	24
Completed college.....	39	36	36
Post college .....	2.8	3.0	1.8
No answer/Refused.....	1.2	0.3	0.2

SDC5. Religion of the Respondents: Top 5 answers

	<u>July 12-14</u> [N= 608]	<u>Aug 2-4</u> [N= 565]
Roman Catholic .....	84%	86%
Iglesia ni Cristo.....	3.3	4.2
Born again Christian.....	2.3	3.2
Born again .....	2.3	2.0
Christian .....	1.2	1.2

SDC6. Frequent Mode of Transportation

	<u>June 28-30</u>	<u>July 12-14</u>	<u>Aug 2-4</u>
	[N= 526]	[N= 608]	[N=565]
Jeep/PUJ.....	49%	51%	<b>49%</b>
Own vehicle.....	20	19	<b>19</b>
Bus.....	14	13	<b>16</b>
LRT/MRT.....	6.5	5.1	<b>6.6</b>
FX.....	4.2	6.1	<b>5.3</b>
Taxi.....	2.8	3.9	<b>3.0</b>
Tricycle.....	1.5	1.0	<b>0.7</b>

SDC7. Home and Lot Ownership

	<u>June 28-30</u>	<u>July 12-14</u>	<u>Aug 2-4</u>
	[N= 526]	[N= 608]	[N=565]
Own house and lot.....	55%	54%	<b>56%</b>
Not own house and lot.....	35	38	<b>36</b>
Own house only.....	7.8	6.9	<b>6.7</b>
Own lot only.....	1.0	0.7	<b>0.4</b>
Refused.....	1.0	0.8	<b>0.5</b>

SDC8. Sex of Respondent

	<u>June 28-30</u>	<u>July 12-14</u>	<u>Aug 2-4</u>
	[N= 526]	[N= 608]	[N=565]
Men.....	36%	35%	<b>34%</b>
Women.....	64	65	<b>66</b>